

Overview of the 2023 Billion-Ton Report

Fifty-four authors CAAFI May 15th, 2024

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle LLC for the US Department of Energy



Results: 0.7-1.7 billion tons production capacity



2

National Laboratory

- Bioeconomy currently provides 340 million tons biomass (5 Quads or 5% total)
- Currently available resources can double biomass in nearterm
- Mature market induces another 440-800 million tons biomass depending on yield assumptions
- Emerging resources can supply another 250 million tons
- All estimates include sustainability constraints

Demands for Decarbonization





^a The Base case and Expanded scenario bars above are reported on a GGE basis

* Assumes a conversion rate of 55 gallons per ton

WOAK KIDGE National Laboratory

2023 Billion-Ton Report (BT23) is 4th in a series



CAK RIDGE

- To inform research, development, and deployment strategies.
- Update to latest economic conditions
- Better clarity in terms of
 - Production capacity by market maturity
 - Level of resource utilization
- New resources (e.g. oilseeds, macroalgae)

- Not targets
- Not predictions
- Policy agnostic
- End-use agnostic

Billion-Ton 2023 Collaborators

Fifty-four contributors



BT23 considers current, available, and future resources



US currently uses 340 million tons of biomass for fuel & power



Million tons per year in 2022



Waste & byproduct resources can provide 180-220 million tons



● FOG ● Other solid waste ● Other wet waste ● Paper & cardboard ● Plastic \$1,000 \$500 \$400 \$300 dry short ton \$200 \$100-\$70 \$50 \$40 \$30 \$20 \$10 0 180 RO 6 Ô 760 780 \overline{c}_{0} 700 Dry short tons (millions) **XOAK KIDGE**

8

National Laboratory



Timberland resources can provide 32-63 million tons



National Laboratory

2023 Billion-Ton Report

Agricultural resources



Key Input: Regional Feedstock Partnership Yield Data





Switchgrass



Willow





Million Dry Tons

Ag scenario details: (Table 5.1)



	Scenario	Assumptions
	Near term	Near term (simulated as 7 years after 2023) Only crop residues (corn, wheat, sorghum, barley, and oat) No harvest technology improvements
	Low	Mature market (simulated as 18 years after 2023) No energy crop yield improvements Conventional crop yield improvements assume USDA baseline No harvest technology improvements
	Medium	Mature market (simulated as 18 years after 2023) 1% per year energy crop yield improvements Conventional crop yield improvements assume USDA baseline Harvest technology improves from 50% to 90% efficiency
	High	Mature market (simulated as 18 years after 2023) 3% per year energy crop yield improvements Conventional crop yields improve 1.5 times the USDA trend Harvest technology improves from 50% to 90% efficiency

Impact of R&D on yields

13



2023 Billion-Ton Report

Agricultural resources can provide 150-800+ million tons



14

National Laboratory

2023 Billion-Ton Report

Energy crops results on cropland are outside the corn belt





Energy crops results on cropland are outside the corn belt





Commodity price impacts: Soy





Commodity price impacts: Soy





Commodity price impacts: Soy





Commodity price impacts: Wheat





Commodity price impacts: Corn





Energy crops could have nominal impacts on food production

Business as Usual (no energy crops), 2041:



■ Business as usual, 2041

Modeled impacts of energy crop scenarios on US commodity crop production, food prices, and farm revenues. Future yield improvements simulated in the MM High scenario mitigate impacts on conventional production and increase biomass production.



Energy crops could have nominal impacts on food production, big increases in farm revenues

MM Low: No future yield improvement; 325 million tons per year

MM Medium: 1% per year yield improvement; 400 million tons per year
MM High: 3% per year yield improvement: 640 million tons per year



■ Business as usual, 2041 ■ Mature-market Low ■ Mature-market Med ■ Mature-market High

Modeled impacts of energy crop scenarios on US commodity crop production, food prices, and farm revenues. Future yield improvements simulated in the MM High scenario mitigate impacts on conventional production and increase biomass production.



150%

Farm net returns increase \$17-\$27 Billion per year



■ Net market commodity crop returns *■ Net returns residues ■ Energy crop anuities



Farm net returns increase \$17-\$27 Billion per year

Farm net income changes of the maturemarket medium reference case scenario over baseline







How optimistic is this?





Key Assumptions Policy Implementation/Impacts How optimistic is this? Regulatory Limits **BT23** Investor Response Regional Competition with other Energy Sources Projected Technology Costs Economic Projected Fuel Costs & Environmenta Environmental constraint Total U.S. Logging Residues Mature-market medium System/Topographic Constraints Technical Land-use Constraints Reference scenario System Performance **19** million tons/yr equivalent Physical Constraints FOREST PROC. WASTE - 1.1 M Resource Theoretical Physical Potentia Energy Content of Resource **LOGGING RESIDUES - 19 M** _____ Adapted from DOE-EERE (2006) and NREL (2011) Potential **OTHER FOREST** FORESTRY/WOOD - 144 M **AGRICULTURE - 162 M** Additional in fores WASTE - 7.5 M -(not harvested) 23 million SMALL-DIA. tons/vr equival **CURRENTLY USED FOR ENERGY - 342 M Total U.S. Agricultural Residues** TREES - 35 M Reference scenario U.S. Timberland Growing Stock **177** million FOG 4 M-– MSW/OTHER WASTES - 37 M (Conterminous United States) **e**— Currently harvested tons/yr equivalent for livestock 3.2 million Harvest for conventional tons/yr equivalent ¢ forest products ~200 million PLASTIC Currently grazed tons/yr equivalen for livestock Reference scenario └•~50 million (small-diameter trees) **C** tons/yr equivaler 35 million tons/yr equivalent Additional in fields (not harvested) 315 million WASTES tons/yr equivalent Remaining timberland (unharvested) ~14,000 million 217-N tons equivalen Total U.S. Agricultural Land (Conterminous United States) **Total U.S. Waste Resources** Energy crops on cropland Cropland 26 million Reference scenario 356 million 217 million acres acres tons/yr equivalent Woodland cropland 73 million ⊷ Wastes Timberland Currently Used for Energy acres Agriculture Pastureland 315 million Energy crops on pastureland Energy crops are the acres 50 million Recycled 1.2 billion tons per year current bioenergy biggest source, and acres and other uses 235 million the biggest dial. tons/vr equivalent Yield uncertainty. **WOAK KIDGE** National Laboratory

27

2023 Billion-Ton Report

Sustainability

BT16 Volume 2: Environmental Sustainability Effects of Select Scenarios from Volume 1



Environmental Sustainability Effects of Select Scenarios from Volume 1

Volume II | January 2017



https://bioenergykdf.net/2016-billion-ton-report-vol-2

BT23 Chapter 6: Sustainability and Good Practices



https://bioenergykdf.net/document/2023-billion-ton-report



Emerging resources can provide 250+ million tons in future







Wicroalgae supply curve based on weighted average cost of individual sites by county.

Carbon Dioxide: Stationary Sources



High-purity CO₂ from ethanol and ammonia production.

CAK RIDGE

National Laboratory

30

Subset of total CO_2 resource by facility category for stationary source and estimated cost of CO_2 capture and purification. Figure using data from NETL and the Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management (NETL 2023; Fahs et al. 2023; Schmitt et al. 2023). See BT23 appendix for further information.

Potential biomass depends on price (Near Term)





Potential biomass depends on price (Mature-Market Low)



CAK RIDGE

Potential biomass depends on price (Mature-Market Medium)



Potential biomass depends on price (Mature-Market High)





Potential biomass depends on price (Emerging scenario)





Results: 0.7-1.7 billion tons production capacity



36

National Laboratory

- Bioeconomy currently provides 340 million tons biomass (5 Quads or 5% total)
- Currently available resources can double biomass in nearterm
- Mature market induces another 440-800 million tons biomass depending on yield assumptions
- Emerging resources can supply another 250 million tons
- All estimates include sustainability constraints

BT23: 1.7 billion tons under Emerging market scenario



CAK RIDGE National Laboratory

37

2023 Billion-Ton Report

BT23 Data Portal



https://bioenergykdf.net/

langholtzmh@ornl.gov





Base-case comparison with BT16





Assumptions matter

Yield assumptions:

Modeling and Analysis



The impact of alternative land and yield assumptions in herbaceous biomass supply modeling: one-sizefits-all resource assessment?

Laurence Eaton, Matthew Langholtz, and Maggie Davis, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

Received December 19, 2017; revised October 2, 2018; accepted October 2, 2018 View online at Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com); DOI: 10.1002/bbb.1946; *Biofuels, Bioprod. Bioref.* (2018)

Abstract. The Billion-ton Reports series has addressed the technical economic potential of supplying additional biomass from farmland and forests.^{1–3} Underlying each of the reports and supporting scenarios is a series of assumptions that drive the modeled output. The assumptions have developed over time with the support of technical experts from industry, academia, and government.⁴ Energy crops have not yet reached commodity scale, and only exist in commercial production in a limited number



Market assumptions:

Original Article

Biofuets Bioproducts & Diorefining

Supply analysis of preferential market incentive for energy crops

Oluwafemi Oyedeji©, Matthew Langholtz©, Environmental Science Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

Chad Hellwinckel, Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

Erin Webbo, Environmental Science Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, USA

Received July 9 2020; Revised December 8 2020; Accepted December 11 2020; View online at Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com); Dol: 10.1002/bbb.2184; *Biofuels*, *Bioprod. Bioref.* (2021)

Abstract: This analysis explores the valuation of feedstock quality attributes of switchgrass and miscanthus – two energy crops poised for future expansion – and compares the relative economic availability of these two crops under two scenarios: (i) uniform price assumptions (i.e., no incentive for quality), and (ii) a scenario of a price premium based on convertibility (i.e., an incentive for quality). Given data on cellulose content, hemicellulose content, and their relative convertibility, miscanthus is expected to be 11% more efficient at conversion to biofuels than switchgrass under the biochemical conversion route. Based on this scenario of improved conversion efficiency and associated profit, we simulate an 11% price premium for miscanthus over other feedstocks in a base-case scenario. By adding this price premium, supplies of miscanthus increase over the base case by about 4 million (44%) tops in year 0 10 and 20 after simulated contracts for production are



Climate change:

